MANITOBA COMMUNITY NOTIFICATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Report No. 4

June 2000

Community Notification Advisory Committee

Honourable Gord Mackintosh
Minister of Justice
Attorney General of Manitoba
Room 104 Legislative Building
Winnipeg, Manitoba
R3C OV8

Dear Sir:

I am pleased to submit for your information the 4th report of the Community Notification Advisory Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

William Morton, Q.C.
Chairperson
Community Notification Advisory Committee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction 1

II. The Community Notification Process 2
1. Background 2
2. How the Process Works 3
3. Frequently Asked Questions 6

III. Membership and Management 7
1. Membership 7
2. Committee Policies and Procedures 8
3. Support to the Committee 9
4. Agency Activities 9
   i. Corrections Division, Manitoba Justice 10
   ii. Prosecutions & Criminal Justice Division, Manitoba Justice 10
   iii. Mental Health Services, Manitoba Health 11
   iv. Royal Canadian Mounted Police 11
   v. Winnipeg Police Service 12
   vi. Brandon Police Service 13
   vii. Correctional Service of Canada 13
5. Public Education 14
6. Children First Protocol 15

IV. Summary of Cases 16

I. INTRODUCTION

The Manitoba Community Notification Advisory Committee (the Committee) was established in February 1995 in response to growing concerns regarding the dangers posed by high risk sex offenders. The Committee, the first of its type in Canada, was given the mandate to consider cases where it may be in the public interest to alert citizens about the presence of a high-risk sex offender in the community. The Committee is currently chaired by a retired lawyer and its membership consists of representatives from the criminal justice system, mental health fields and the general community.

The Committee's Protocol stands as an important innovation in Canada's justice system. As a *policy initiative,* the Protocol clearly reflects the principle that protection of the public is a critical priority in Manitoba. The Protocol identifies interests which, when necessary, may supersede the rights of privacy normally possessed by all citizens. As a *program initiative,* the Committee is required to apply the Protocol in a balanced manner. An individual's rights to privacy will be weighed against citizens' needs to possess sufficient information to take appropriate steps to keep themselves, their families and their children safe. This is an important and delicate task requiring the expertise of individuals from a wide range of disciplines.

This report identifies the activities of the Committee after four years of operation. The report contains a summary of the Protocol which governs the work of the Committee, provides background information about the Committee, and summarizes all cases processed as of December 31, 1999. The report also identifies the developments since the Committee issued its last report.

II. THE COMMUNITY NOTIFICATION PROCESS

BACKGROUND

The Protocol and the Committee were established by Manitoba Justice in February 1995. The following agencies assisted with the development of the Protocol:

- **Manitoba Justice**
  - Civil Legal Services
  - Corrections
  - Public Prosecutions
  - Policy, Planning and Special Projects
- **Manitoba Health**
  - Mental Health Services
- **Royal Canadian Mounted Police 'D' Division**
- **Brandon Police Service**
- **Winnipeg Police Service**
- **Correctional Service of Canada**
- **Ministry of the Solicitor General - Prairie Region**

The Protocol was reviewed and approved by each agency represented on the Committee.

Consultations were carried out with a number of key groups as part of the development of the Protocol. These included provinces and American states involved in developing or implementing similar processes, as well as selected police agencies across Canada who were addressing the issue of community notification. These steps aided in the development of Canada's first Community Notification Protocol.

HOW THE PROCESS WORKS

The Committee reviews cases of referred convicted sex offenders, who are considered at high risk to re-offend, and makes recommendations to police agencies about whether the public should be warned about the presence of those offenders in the community. The Committee represents a cross-section of people from the criminal justice and mental health systems with the expertise to determine whether offenders are at high risk to re-offend. The chart on page 5 provides a systematic overview of the Committee's process.

Case Referrals to the Committee

Correctional officials or community concerns alert the police of the impending release or presence of a high risk sex offender. The police gather records and reports regarding the individual and decide whether to refer the case to the Committee. Police referrals may also be the result of an ongoing investigation or information from another source indicating that a potentially high risk sexual offender is or may be arriving in Manitoba.

When a case is referred to the Committee, the offender is notified that his case is being reviewed, that a range of options may be recommended, and that the offender or a designate can make a written submission to the Committee.

Committee Deliberations

When a case is reviewed, the Committee, considers all available documentation and discusses each case in confidence. The decision making process is a balance of issues such as individual privacy rights, risk to the public, the effect of publication on previous victims, and other prior judicial decisions. The Committee considers such information as:

• Circumstances, history and patterns of offences • Any breaches of probation or parole • Participation in treatment programs • Relevant psychiatric, psychological or social assessments • Planned activities	• Employment history or prospects • Interpersonal relationships and supports • Victim Impact Statements • Written statement from offender/designate • Prior proceedings and police contacts • Potential access to victims • Characteristics of victims

Committee Decisions

The Committee's recommendation to the police must be one of the following actions:

Full Public Notification: This is the strongest measure the Committee can undertake. It is a province-wide notification to all Manitobans, which includes the issuing of a news release to the major media outlets in Manitoba. A recent and accurate photograph, a physical description and the nature of past offences may all be part of the public warning. This type of notice encourages members of the public to take steps to ensure their safety, but warns that vigilantism or other unreasonable conduct directed at the offender will not be tolerated.

Limited Public Notification: This is similar to a Full Public Notification, except that the warning is limited to a specific community or group and the news release is restricted to the media outlets in the area of notification. The format of the notice and the warning against vigilantism are the same as that for a Full Public Notification

Targeted Notification: After a thorough examination of a case, the Committee may decide that it is only necessary to warn a specific group considered to be at risk and that it is not necessary to provide a news release to the media. An example could be an offender who only targets victims of a certain age or sex and resides in a remote, isolated community.

No Notification: After reviewing the case, the Committee may decide that there is not a reasonable expectation of risk to warrant any kind of warning or other action.

Other Measures: The Committee may recommend that police take other steps to ensure community safety such as surveillance, obtaining a court order prohibiting the offender from contacting children or other appropriate limitations.

Communication of Recommendations

The Committee relays its recommendations confidentially to the lead police agency involved in the case. The police are then responsible for deciding what action will be taken in response to the recommendations. If the police agency follows the recommendations, it will be indemnified by Manitoba Justice should any legal action be taken as a result of a notification or a decision not to notify. Where possible, the police will notify the offender and victims prior to undertaking a notification.

Figure I
Community Notification Process


Federal or Provincial Corrections, Police and/or Community identify high risk sexual offender
Notify Police
Police bring case to Committee
Offender notified before Committee meets and before its deliberations
Committee considers written submission from offender
Committee examines information and assesses risk
Committee Recommendation
FULL PUBLIC NOTIFICATION
LIMITED PUBLIC NOTIFICATION
TARGETED NOTIFICATION
NO NOTIFICATION
OTHER MEASURES
Police consider recommendation
Police release/do not release information

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1. To whom does the Protocol apply?

The Protocol applies to convicted sexual offenders that the police are concerned may be at high risk to re-offend.

2. What is a lead police agency?

For the purposes of the Committee's Protocol, a lead police agency is the police force that determines if a sexual offender should be referred to the Committee, prepares case documentation for Committee consideration and carries out targeted and public notifications on the advice of the Committee. The lead policy agency will be either the Winnipeg Police Service, the RCMP or the Brandon Police Service and is usually determined by the location or intended residence of the sexual offender.

3. Why are the recommendations of the Committee confidential?

The police must respect an offender's rights, including privacy rights, unless they determine that public safety concerns are paramount. The function of the Committee is to assist a police force in making this assessment by providing multi-disciplinary advice. It remains, however, the responsibility of the police force to exercise its best judgment in fulfilling its public safety functions. Publicizing the Committee's recommendations would likely impair the relationship between the police and the Committee, thus undermining the rationale for the existence of the Protocol.

4. Does the Protocol apply to offenders who move outside of Manitoba?

No. The Protocol is for the protection of Manitobans. Some provinces have developed community notification systems based on Manitoba's system and others are working on developing their own system of notification.

5. What types of recommendations can the Committee make?

There are five basic recommendations that may be made:
1) no notification;
2) targeted notification (e.g. a specific group, geographic area, but no media);
3) limited public notification (e.g. a specific group, geographic area, including media); 4) full public notification (e.g. province-wide notification including media); or
5) other measures (as directed by the Committee).

6. Do police agencies have to follow the Committee's recommendations?

No. The police retain the right and responsibility to make whatever notification they consider appropriate. However, if they do not follow the Committee's recommendation they will not be indemnified should legal action be taken against them.

7. Can the offender present his views to the Committee?

Yes. The offender and/or a designate can send in written submissions.

8. Why doesn't the Committee recommend full public notification in every case?

Full public notification in less serious cases would unnecessarily alarm the public and breach the offender's right to privacy. Furthermore, the circumstances surrounding each offender's criminal pattern, the exposure to and acceptance of treatment, and the presence of other supports which may help prevent future offences will vary. The Committee's role is, therefore, to assess each individual case and recommend to the police if notification is warranted and, if so, the scope of such notification.

III. MEMBERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT

1. MEMBERSHIP

The Protocol specifies the Committee's membership. The Committee is chaired by Mr. William Morton, Q.C., who was appointed as Chairperson by the Minister of Justice and Attorney General, in July 1998. It was previously chaired by Ms. Jennifer Cooper, Q.C. from February 1995 to February 1997 and by Mr. Raymond Wyant from December 1997 to June 1998. Mr. Wyant served as Acting Chairperson from the date of Ms. Cooper's resignation until he was appointed as Chairperson in December 1997. The Committee consists of representatives from the following agencies:

- **Manitoba Justice**
  - Corrections
  - Prosecutions

- **Manitoba Health**
  - Mental Health Services

- **Royal Canadian Mounted Police 'D' Division**
- **Brandon Police Service**
- **Winnipeg Police Service**
- **Correctional Service of Canada**

The Minister of Justice may also appoint additional members to the Committee when required. In December 1997 and in July 1998, the Minister of Justice appointed a member-at-large to sit on the committee as a representative of the general community. The Chairperson may also invite, on a case-by-case basis, representatives from other police agencies to participate in a case review.

2. COMMITTEE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The Committee's Protocol outlines the procedures for the receipt and management of cases by the Committee. The Committee has also established policies governing its operation and administration such as:

- guidelines on the information to be provided by provincial and federal correctional authorities to police for presentation of cases to the Committee;
- the storage and maintenance of files;
- the management of cases involving young offenders;
- the management of files on cases referred to the Committee; and,
- the management of media inquiries.

Procedures have also been established to guide the Committee and its member-agencies in areas such as:

- requests from lead police agencies for a Committee hearing to consider a specific case;
- notice from the Chairperson to all Committee members on the scheduling of cases;

- notice to an offender by the lead police agencies regarding the referral of their case to the Committee, following which the offender or his advocates may provide a written submission;

- notice from the Committee to lead police agencies requesting additional information about a case prior to developing a recommendation; and

- notice from the Chairperson to lead police agencies of the Committee's final recommendation(s).

Additional policies and procedures may be introduced in the future as required by the Committee.

3. SUPPORT TO THE COMMITTEE

Manitoba Justice provides a number of services to the Committee including:

- legal advice from a Crown Counsel with the Civil Legal Services Branch;

- policy support by a Policy Analyst from the Prosecutions and Criminal Justice Policy Branch; and

- administrative services by an Administrative Secretary from the Prosecutions and Criminal Justice Policy Branch.

Communication services are provided by a specialist from the Information Resources Branch of the Department of Culture, Heritage and Citizenship.

4. AGENCY ACTIVITIES

Detailed information about the steps taken by each member-agency to implement the Protocol and assist the Committee in the completion of its mandate was presented in Report No. 1. This section summarizes these steps, and it notes, where appropriate, additional steps undertaken by an agency since the publication of Report No. 1 in November 1995.

i. Corrections Division, Manitoba Justice

Manitoba Corrections is responsible for the management of offenders sentenced to probation and/or a term of imprisonment of less than two years. It operates both adult and youth correctional facilities, and provides probation supervision of offenders in the community from offices throughout the province. Manitoba Corrections is divided into two Branches: i) Adult Corrections is responsible for the institutional management of adult offenders; and ii) Community and Youth Corrections is responsible for probation services and youth correctional facilities.

The Protocol's implementation has generated a number of related provincial correctional initiatives since February 1995, including:

- A Divisional policy guide for assessment and referral of high risk sexual offenders to the applicable lead police agency.
- An integrated Offender Tracking System, COMS, for all offenders in institutional custody or under community supervision.
- Implementation of offender risk assessment instruments and procedures manual.
- Relapse prevention and other specialized treatment programs for sexual offenders.
- Staff training and advisory committees on sexual offending to guide the management and treatment of sexual offenders

ii. Prosecutions and Criminal Justice Division, Manitoba Justice

The Prosecutions and Criminal Justice Division of Manitoba Justice (the Division) is responsible for criminal prosecutions and the management of policing and victim services, as well as a wide range of criminal justice programming. The Division also manages the National Flagging System for Manitoba to track high risk offenders.

The Division has been represented on the Committee by a Crown Attorney from Winnipeg. The Committee's Protocol was distributed to all Divisional offices in the Province and all senior officials have been briefed on the Committee process.

The Division is continuing its efforts in conjunction with other federal, provincial, territorial and municipal agencies to manage high risk sexual offenders through legislative reforms and new program initiatives.

iii. Mental Health Services, Manitoba Health

The Provincial Mental Health Services Division of Manitoba Health is responsible for the provision of care, treatment and rehabilitation of the mentally ill. Through the facilities of the Selkirk Mental Health Centre, long-term care and rehabilitation is provided for those whose needs cannot be met by other alternatives. The Centre also serves as a resource in forensic services, teaching and research in chronic psychiatric care.

A Forensic Social Worker and a Clinical Psychologist share in the responsibility of assisting the Committee in the review and interpretation of treatment and assessment issues. These individuals provide services to the forensic patient population at Selkirk Mental Health Centre.

iv. Royal Canadian Mounted Police

The "D" Division (Manitoba) of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) is responsible for the provision of policing services to most rural communities and operates more than sixty (60) Detachments in Manitoba.

The Criminal Operations Branch of the RCMP (the Branch) is responsible for the implementation and management of cases referred to the Committee by the RCMP. The Chief Superintendent is responsible for referrals to, and recommendations from, the Committee. A Corporal in the Branch coordinates materials from individual Detachments for presentation to the Committee and tracks referred cases for the RCMP. A second Corporal from the General Investigation Section specializing in the investigation of major crimes has also been assigned to the Committee.

The RCMP has distributed to all Detachments in Manitoba an internal policy in respect to the Committee. Detachment Commanders have been instructed to review the policy to ensure that suitable cases are considered for referral to the Committee.

The RCMP continues to work closely with other lead police agencies and correctional authorities on the investigation and follow-up of cases referred to the Committee.

v. Winnipeg Police Service

The Winnipeg Police Service (WPS) is responsible for providing law enforcement services to the City of Winnipeg and employs more than 1,100 officers.

The Youth Division is the investigative unit responsible for compiling sex offender case histories, assessing the risks posed by individual offenders and, where a decision to notify the public is made, to undertake the notification process. This designation was decided upon to ensure consistency and the use of specialized officers.

Since the inception of the Protocol, WPS has:

- designated Youth Division officers as the lead investigators of high risk sex offenders who may fall under the Protocol;
- developed a check-list of the minimum report requirements for a referral to the Committee;
- utilized computer-generated forms and investigative aids, including inter-agency requests for information, letters of notification and standardized forms;
- developed formal policies and procedures for the investigation of potential notification cases;
- investigated the use of advanced communication technologies, including the Internet and telecommunication systems, to aide in public and targeted notifications;
- distributed information packages on personal safety and risk assessment, where practical, as part of the notification process; and
- participated in a committee to develop the Children First Protocol.

vi. Brandon Police Service

The Brandon Police Service is responsible for the provision of law enforcement services to the City of Brandon.

Like the RCMP and the Winnipeg Police Service, the Brandon Police Service was a founding member of the Committee and has been involved in the assessment of cases that have been presented to the Committee since its inception. A Sergeant from the Brandon Police Service coordinates case materials for presentation to the Committee and tracks referred cases.

vii. Correctional Service of Canada

The Correctional Service of Canada (C.S.C.) is responsible for offenders who are sentenced to incarceration for two or more years. Federal institutions located in Manitoba are the medium security Stony Mountain Institution and the minimum security Rockwood Institution. C.S.C. Parole is responsible for the supervision of offenders in the community throughout the Province of Manitoba and Northwestern Ontario.

In January 1995, the Prairie Region's Deputy Commissioner appointed the District Director for Manitoba/N.W. Ontario to participate as the C.S.C. representative on the Committee. During the development of the protocol, C.S.C. reviewed drafts to ensure compliance with Federal policies, legislation and correctional procedures.

Since the establishment of the Committee, a number of steps have been taken to ensure staff is trained and ready to apply the protocol, including:

- distributing copies of the Protocol to Regional and National Headquarters, as well as to all Wardens and District Directors in the Prairie Region;
- briefing Parole Officers on the components and administration of the Protocol;
- ongoing meetings with lead police agencies to review potential cases and to exchange information on offenders referred to the Committee; and,
- establishing policies governing the nature of information to be released by the Service to the lead police agency or the Committee are in place.

5. PUBLIC EDUCATION

Since the inception of the Committee, its Chairperson and members have undertaken a number of public education activities. Those activities have generally consisted of participating in media interviews, making presentations to interested organizations or groups and meeting with organizations and groups to share information about community notification. The intent of public education activities is to raise awareness of the Committee's objectives and procedures and to promote public safety. The public education activities that were undertaken since the last report were as follows:

In February 1999, the Committee met with Coordinator of the Victim's Voice victim support organization.

In April 1999, two Committee members who are from the Winnipeg Police Service were interviewed by the Winnipeg Free Press for an article about community notification in Manitoba.

In April 1999, the Chairperson of the Committee was interviewed by a reporter from the Metro news for an article about community notification.

In May 1999, a Committee member who is from the Winnipeg Police Service made a presentation about community notification to the Core Training of Circles of Support & Accountability Volunteers of the Winnipeg Mennonite Central Committee.

In May 1999, two Committee members who are from the Winnipeg Police Service made a presentation about community notification at the National Joint Committee of Senior Criminal Justice Prairie Region Committee Meeting.

In June 1999, the Committee met with representatives of the Headingley Correctional Institution Sexual Offender Unit.

In July 1999, the Committee met with representatives of the RCMP ViClas Unit.

The Committee recognizes that public education is vital to the effectiveness of the community notification process and it intends to take a more proactive approach in its public education activities. The Committee has compiled a directory of agencies and groups that are interested in receiving presentations by the Chairperson and Committee members and developed a prototype brochure to help the public understand how community notification works. It is anticipated that the brochure will be printed and distributed during the first part of 2000.

6. CHILDREN FIRST PROTOCOL

The Children First Protocol was implemented in May 1997 to address concerns about:

i) how to effectively disseminate information to schools and child care agencies throughout Manitoba when a public or targeted notification is undertaken and, when children are included within the target group of victims; and,

ii) how to respond to numerous requests by schools, parents and others for information and assistance when notifications are undertaken.

The Children First Protocol requires the lead police agency to provide the Manitoba Department of Education, The Child and Family Support Branch of the Manitoba Department of Family Services and the Manitoba Child Day Care Branch of the Department of Family Services ("the child agencies") with a copy of the information release, the anticipated time of the notification and any other information recommended by the Committee in advance of the notification for all public and targeted notifications. For public notifications and targeted notifications where children are at risk, the child agencies can disclose the notification information to the personnel within their departments as soon as the public or targeted notification has been made by the police. The child agencies are responsible for handling all inquiries arising from their distribution of the notification information. For targeted notifications where children are not potential victims, the child agencies cannot release the notification information to their personnel, but can use it to explain why their agency was not the intended recipient of the notification information if those questions arise.

IV. SUMMARY OF CASES

A total of ninety-five (95) cases were referred to the Committee between June 1995 and the end of December 1999. Those cases involve 85 individual offenders. Six offenders were referred to the Committee two times and two offenders were referred three times. Each of those referrals is treated as a separate case for statistical purposes. Table 1, found on pages 19 - 29, contains a summary of the statistical data pertaining to each of the offenders referred to the Committee.

Referrals

In total, the Winnipeg Police Service (WPS) have referred fifty-one (51) cases to the Committee, the RCMP referred forty-one (41) and the Brandon Police Service (BPS) referred three (3).

The distribution of case referrals to the Committee by year, up to and including December 31, 1999 is as follows:

Police Agency	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	Total
WPS	10	13	14	8	6	51
RCMP	9	12	7	8	5	41
BPS	2	0	1	0	0	3
	21	25	22	16	11	95

Profile of Offenders

As illustrated in Table 1, all cases reviewed by the Committee involve male offenders aged 16 to 73.

In this review, all cases have been categorized according to the three offender categories used by the Correctional Service of Canada:

i) ***Sexual Aggressors:*** persons with a variety of motivations, characteristics, and range of mostly adult victims.

ii) ***Pedophiles:*** persons who focus on pre-pubescent and/or adolescent child victims

iii) ***Incest offenders:*** persons who sexually assault blood relatives, usually pre-pubescent or adolescent children.

As seen in Table 1, forty-two (42) of the offenders are classified as sexual aggressors, forty-

one (41) are classified as pedophiles, and two are classified as incest offenders.

The majority of offenders had extensive criminal records involving sexual assaults and/or other violent crimes. In other instances, offenders had limited criminal records, but had admitted their involvement in multiple undetected sexual offences committed over several years.

The status of the offenders at the time of the Committee's recommendations was as follows: in twenty-three (23) cases the offenders were still incarcerated; in thirty-eight (38) cases the offenders were under the supervision of Manitoba Corrections; in twenty-four (24) cases the offenders had been released after completing their sentence in full; in six (6) cases the offenders were under the conditions of a recognizance order and in two (2) cases the offenders were under the supervision of the Correctional Service of Canada. One case was not considered because the offender had been committed to a psychiatric centre and one case was not considered because it was withdrawn by the lead police agency.

Victim Profile

The age and gender of the victims* of offenders referred to the Committee are as follows:

Age and Gender of Victims

Category	Male	Female	Unknown
Child (0-11)	32	54	0
Youth (12-17)	16	44	0
Adult (18+)	1	46	0
Unknown	0	2	2
Total	49	146	2

*Victims of sexual offences for which the offender has been convicted.

The majority of the victims in the cases referred to the Committee were female (74.1%). Female children (11 years of age and under) accounted for 27.4% of victims; female youths (12 to 17 years of age) accounted for 22.3% of victims and female adults accounted for 23.4% of victims. Females whose age could not be determined from the available information accounted for 1.0% of all victims.

The majority of offenders, 67 (78.8%), were known to their victims at the time of the offence Fourteen offenders (16.5%) were not known or strangers to their victims and 4 offenders (4.7%) offended against both known and unknown victims.

Offender Submissions To The Committee

The Protocol requires that, where possible, police notify offenders in writing of their intention to forward cases to the Committee and of an offender's right to present a written submission to the Committee. The offender may request that other persons, such as lawyers, counselors, or clergy, provide written supportive submissions to the Committee. Fourteen (14) offenders have written directly to the Committee outlining their views on the referral of their cases by police. Twelve (12) legal counsel wrote to the Committee on behalf of offenders, five (5) written submissions were received from friends of offenders, five (5) written submissions were received from relatives of offenders, one (1) written submission was received from a pastor and one (1) written submission was received from the Chief of a First Nation.

Type of Notification

The Committee has recommended full public notification in nineteen (19) cases, limited public notification in twenty (20) cases, targeted notification in twenty-nine (29) cases, no notification in twenty-three (23) cases and other measures in two (2) cases. Two (2) cases were withdrawn at the request of the lead police agency.

The disposition of the 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998 and 1999 cases was as follows:

Decision	**1995**	**1996**	**1997**	**1998**	**1999**	**Total**
Full	3	3	4	3	6	19
Limited	1	7	5	5	2	20
Targeted	11	9	3	4	2	29
No Notification	5	5	9	1	3	23
Other Measures	0	1	1	0	0	2
Withdrawn	1	0	0	1	0	2
Total	**21**	**25**	**22**	**14**	**13**	**95**

Table 1 - Characteristics of Offenders Referred to the Community Notification Advisory Committee

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
1	male	42	sexual aggressor	RCMP	federal	incarcerated	in community released on warrant expiry	2 female adults --- known	no
2	male	54	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female youth --- known	no
3	male	29	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community on probation (2 referrals)	in community on probation (2 recommendations)	1 female youth --- known	yes lawyer
4	male	31	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	incarcerated	in community on probation	1 female adult & 1 female child --- known	yes lawyer
5	male	19	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	2 adult females --- known	no
6	male	48	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	incarcerated	in community on probation	2 female youths --- known	no
7	male	32	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	incarcerated	in community released on warrant expiry	2 female adults --- known	no
8	male	69	sexual aggressor	WPS (2X) RCMP (1X)	n/a	in community no supervision (1X) on recognizance (2X)	in community on recognizance (3 recommendations)	1 female youth --- known	no
9	male	28	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	2 female children & 1 male child --- known	no

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
10	male	16	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community on recognizance	in community on recognizance	1 male child --- known	no
11	male	29	pedophile	BPS	provincial	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	1 male youth --- known	yes offender
12	male	68	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	4 female children --- known	no
13	male	26	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 male child --- known	yes offender
14	male	20	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	incarcerated	in community on probation	1 female child --- known	no
15	male	21	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	4 female children --- known	no
16	male	27	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	incarcerated	in community released on warrant expiry	1 female adult --- known	no
17	male	25	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	incarcerated	in community on probation	1 female adult --- known	no
18	male	26	sexual aggressor	BPS	provincial	committed to psychiatric centre	not applicable case withdrawn	1 female adult --- known	no

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
19	male	46	pedophile	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 male child --- unknown	no
20	male	60	pedophile	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 female child --- known	no
21	male	67 73	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	Incarcerated (2 referrals)	Incarcerated (2 referrals)	2 female adult/ 1 female child --- known	yes offender
22	male	71	pedophile	RCMP	n/a	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	1 male youth --- known	no
23	male	67	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	2 female children --- known	no
24	male	42	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	4 female adults --- unknown	yes offender
25	male	18	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	5 female children --- known	yes offender & parents
26	male	29	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female child --- known	no
27	male	37	pedophile	WPS	federal	in community released on warrant expiry	in community released on warrant expiry	1 female youth --- known	no

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
28	male	60	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	5 female youths & 1 female child --- known	no
29	male	27	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female adult --- known	no
30	male	53	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female child & 2 female youths --- known	yes lawyer
31	male	43	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	2 male children & 1 male youth --- known	yes offender
32	male	37	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	1 female adult --- known	yes friend
33	male	35	incest offender	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female child --- known	yes friends
34	male	20	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female youth --- known	no
35	male	28	sexual aggressor	RCMP	federal	in community no supervision (2 referrals)	in community no supervision (2 recommendations)	1 female youth & 1 female adult --- known	yes offender
36	male	24	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female youth & 1 female victim (age unknown) --- unknown	no

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
37	male	48	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 male child, 2 females youths, 1 female adult, & 1 female victim (age unknown) --- unknown	yes lawyer & friend
38	male	30	pedophile	RCMP	federal	in community released on warrant expiry	in community released on warrant expiry	1 male child & 1 female youth --- known	no
39	male	35	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female child known 1 female adult unknown	no
40	male	46	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	in community released on warrant expiry	in community released on warrant expiry	3 female adults --- unknown	no
41	male	43	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	4 female youths --- known	no
42	male	45	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 female youth --- known	no
43	male	35	pedophile	WPS	federal	incarcerated	in community released on warrant expiry	1 female child & 3 female youths --- unknown	yes offender
44	male	32	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 female adult --- unknown	no

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
45	male	31	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community on probation (1X) --- incarcerated (2X)	in community on probation (1X) --- incarcerated (2X)	1 male child & 1 female youth --- known	no
46	male	31	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 male child, 1 female child --- known	no
47	male	39	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 female youth --- known	yes offender, friend
48	male	31	pedophile	WPS	n/a	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	1 female child --- known	yes lawyer
49	male	29	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	4 female children --- known	no
50	male	21	pedophile	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	3 male children --- 1 known & 2 unknown	yes offender
51	male	26	pedophile	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 female child --- known	yes offender, friend & offender's mother
52	male	54	pedophile	BPS	federal	incarcerated	in community parole supervision	1 female youth --- known	no

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
53	male	46	pedophile	WPS	n/a	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	4 male youths &1 male child --- known	no
54	male	26	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female youth --- known	yes lawyer
55	male	39	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	2 female children &1 female adult --- unknown	no
56	male	39	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	5 female children --- unknown	yes offender & wife
57	male	59	pedophile	RCMP	none	in community parole supervision	in community parole supervision	5 male youths --- known	yes lawyer
58	male	28	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 male child --- known	yes lawyer
59	male	40	pedophile	RCMP	federal	in community released on warrant expiry	in community released on warrant expiry	2 female children &1 female youth --- known	no
60	male	33	incest offender	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 male child --- known	no

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
61	male	40	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	incarcerated	incarcerated	4 female adults --- unknown	no
62	male	64	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	1 female youth --- known	yes lawyer
63	male	40	sexual aggressor	RCMP	n/a	in community no supervision	in community no supervision	1 female adult, 1 female youth & 1 female child --- known	no
64	male	29	sexual aggressor	RCMP	federal	incarcerated (2 referrals)	incarcerated (2 recommendations)	2 female adults --- known	no
65	male	26	sexual aggressor	RCMP	federal	in community released on warrant expiry	in community released on warrant expiry	2 adult females & 1 female youth --- unknown	no
66	male	28	sexual aggressor	RCMP	federal	in community released on warrant expiry	in community released on warrant expiry	1 female youth --- unknown	yes Chief of First Nation
67	male	34	pedophile	WPS	probation	in supervised treatment program	in community on probation	1 male child & 1 female child --- known	no
68	male	51	pedophile	RCMP	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	2 female youths & 2 victims (age and gender unknown) --- known	no

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
69	male	24	pedophile	RCMP	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 male youth --- known	no
70	male	33	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 female adult --- known	no
71	male	19	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	incarcerated	incarcerated	4 females (2 adults, 1 child and 1 youth) --- unknown	no
72	male	46	sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	incarcerated	incarcerated	1 adult male --- known	no
73	male	62	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	1 female child & 1 female youth --- known	yes - ex-wife, daughter & offender
74	male	33	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	in community released on warrant expiry	in community released on warrant expiry	2 female adults --- 1 known & 1 unknown	yes lawyer
75	male	21	pedophile	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	2 male children --- known	no
76	male	36	sexual aggressor	RCMP	provincial	in community on probation (2 referrals)	N/A case withdrawn --- in community on probation	1 female adult --- known	yes pastor and church members

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
77	male	37	sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	in community on recognizance	in community on recognizance	1 female adult --- unknown	no
78	male	30	sexual aggressor	RCMP	federal	Incarcerated (1X) --- in community no supervision (1X)	in community released on warrant expiry (1X) --- in community no supervision (1X)	1 female child --- known	No
79	male	28	Sexual aggressor	RCMP	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	2 female youths --- known	Yes Lawyer
80	male	49	pedophile	WPS	provincial	incarcerated	in community on probation	11 male children & 3 male youths --- known	No
81	male	42	Sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	in community on recognizance	in community on recognizance	1 male child & 2 female adults --- known & unknown	No
82	male	60	Sexual aggressor	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	2 female children --- known	No
83	male	23	Sexual aggressor	WPS	federal	incarcerated	in community on probation	2 female youth --- known	No

#	Gender	Age	Offender Profile	Lead Police Agency	Type of Sentence	Incarcerated or in community at time of referral	Status of Offender at time of recommendation	Victim Profile	Offender Submission to committee
84	male	44	pedophile	WPS	provincial	in community on probation	in community on probation	4 female children & 1 male child --- known	Yes lawyer
85	male	47	Sexual aggressor	RCMP	federal	incarcerated	incarcerated	2 adult females --- known	Yes offender

How To Reach the Community Notification Advisory Committee

Requests for further information or assistance can be directed to:

Community Notification Advisory Committee

Telephone number: (204) 945-3272
Fax number: (204) 945-0433

Publications

The following publications are available by contacting the office of the Community Notification Advisory Committee at the telephone number listed above:

- Protocol on the Release of Information on High Risk Sex Offenders (February 1995)
- Press Release and Backgrounder: Release of Information on High Risk Sex Offenders (February 1995)
- Report No. 1: Community Notification Advisory Committee (November 1995)
- Press Release: Report No. 1 (November 1995)
- Report No. 2: Community Notification Advisory Committee (June 1996)
- Press Release: Report No. 2 (June 1996)
- Report No. 3: Community Notification Advisory Committee (December1999)
- Press Release: Report No. 3 (December 1999)